

| | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/648,799 | Applicant(s) KAMATA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Thomas A. Morrison | Art Unit 3653 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Jeffrey Wyand (Reg. No. 29,458).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 January 2006
Time: 11:45am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 3

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



KATHY MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Wyand (applicant's representative) on 1/17/06 to approve correction of inaccurate language in claim 3 as per attached examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative approved such change during the telephone conversation on 1/17/06.